



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/021,943	12/12/2001	Brian Holtz	0007056-0223/P5924	2740		
58328	7590 03/03/20	6	EXAM	EXAMINER		
SONNEN	SCHEIN NATH & R	ALI, MOH	ALI, MOHAMMAD			
FOR SUN P.O. BOX	MICROSYSTEMS 061080	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WACKER	DRIVE STATION, SE	2166	2166			
CHICAGO	, IL 60606-1080	DATE MAILED: 03/03/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/021,9	43	HOLTZ ET AL.			
		Examine		Art Unit			
		Mohamm		2166			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the	e correspondence ad	idress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	n 22 December 2	005.				
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
•							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election r	equirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	ary (PTO-413) I Date al Patent Application (PT	<sup>-</sup> O-152)		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 12/22/05, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. The rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 17-21 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

MPEP 2106 IV.B.2.(b)

Application/Control Number: 10/021,943 Page 3

Art Unit: 2166

A claim that requires one or more acts to be performed defines a process. However, not all processes are statutory under 35 U.S.C. 101. Schrader, 22 F.3d at 296, 30 USPQ2d at 1460. To be statutory, a claimed computer-related process must either: (A) result in a physical transformation outside the computer for which a practical application in the technological arts is either disclosed in the specification or would have been known to a skilled artisan, or (B) be limited to a practical application within the technological arts.

Claims 17-21 and 23-24 in view of the above-cited MPEP sections, are not statutory because they merely recite a number of computing steps without producing any tangible result and/or being limited to a practical application within the technological arts. The use of a computer has not been indicated.

These claims do not indicate use of hardware on which the software runs to perform the steps recited in the body of the claim. Software or program can be stored on a medium and/or executed by a computer. In other words the software must be <u>computer-readable</u>. The use of a computer is not evident in the claim. MPEP 2106.IV.B.1(a) refers to "computer-readable" medium with computer program encoded on it." Suggested language should be "computer readable storage medium".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Michael Man-Hak Tso ('Man-Hak Tso', hereinafter), USP, 5,706,509.

Application/Control Number: 10/021,943

Art Unit: 2166

With respect to claim 1,

Man-Hak Tso discloses a method for comparing file tree descriptions (see Fig. 2) comprising:

obtaining a first file structure (see col. 4, lines 55-62, Fig. 2);

obtaining a second file structure (see col. 4, lines 15-16, Fig. 2);

comparing said first file structure to said second file structure (see col. 8, lines 55-61, Figs. 4a-b); and

generating one or more changes that transform said first file structure to said second file structure (see col. 12, lines 59-65, Fig. 4a).

As to claim 2,

Man-Hak Tso teaches wherein said comparing further comprises: recursively walking said first file structure (see col. 4, lines 55-62, Fig. 2).

As to claim 3,

Man-Hak Tso teaches wherein said changes comprise a sequence log of changes (see col. 7, lines 58-61).

As to claim 4,

Man-Hak Tso teaches wherein said first file structure is a file tree index (see Fig.

2).

As to claim 5,

Man-Hak Tso teaches wherein said second file structure is a file tree index (see Fig. 2).

As to claim 6,

Application/Control Number: 10/021,943

Art Unit: 2166

Man-Hak Tso teaches wherein said comparing further comprises: comparing one or more folders of said first file structure along with its children with a corresponding folder along with its children in said second file structure (see col. 4, lines 30-32, Fig. 2).

As to claim 7,

Man-Hak Tso teaches optimizing said sequenced log of changes (see col. 7, lines 58-61, Figs. 4a-b).

As to claim 8,

Man-Hak Tso teaches wherein said optimizing further comprising: transforming a plurality of operations in said sequenced log of changes to a single operation (see col. 12, lines 59-65, Fig. 4a).

Claims 9-16 have same subject as of claims 1-8 except configuration as described above and Man-Hak Tso teaches at see col. 6, lines 53-55 and essentially rejected for the same reasons as described above.

Claims 17-24 have subject matter as of claims 1-16 except "a computer usable medium having computer readable program code embodied therein for comparing file tree descriptions, said computer program product" and Man-Hak Tso teaches at (see col. 7, lines 23-25, Fig. 4a and essentially rejected for the same reasons as described above.

Art Unit: 2166

#### Contact Information

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad Ali whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4105. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (7:30 am-6:00 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain T. Alam can be reached on (571) 272-3978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mohammad Ali Primary Examiner Art Unit 2166

MA February 17, 2006

> HOSAIN ALAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER